

Complex linkages between hydrologic dynamics & biogeochemical processes in the near-stream zone – new ways forward





¹ **HELMHOLTZ** | CENTRE FOR | ENVIRONMENTAL | RESEARCH - UFZ

Outline

- Introduction: Why care about GW-SW interactions ?
- Characteristics of the GW-SW interface
- Case studies of three stream-aquifer systems
 - 1st order stream in a riparian wetland (Lehstenbach, South-East Germany)
 - monsoonal stream-aquifer dynamics (Haean Catchment, South Korea)
 - hyporheic dynamics (Selke River, North-East Germany)
- Conclusions
- Outlook challenges and ways forward



Introduction

Background

- EU WFD mandates "good status" of GW and SW
- Management \rightarrow fate of critical substances in aquatic systems
- Importance of GW-SW exchange for ecological functions
- Need to evalute water & solute fluxes between GW and SW

Hypotheses

- GW-SW interface as a reactive zone for solute transformations
- Hydrologic dynamics \rightarrow biogeochemical space-time patterns
- Patterns affect solute export to rivers and streams



The GW-SW interface



nutrient transformations

Typically steep hydraulic, biogeochemical and thermal gradients Spatial and temporal variability of fluxes \rightarrow hot spots, hot moments Mediates water and solute fluxes between GW and SW \emptyset HELMHO

HELMHOLTZ | CENTRE FOR | ENVIRONMENTAL | RESEARCH – UFZ

Hydrology ← → biogeochemistry





from: Stonedahl et al. 2010, WRR, 46:W12539



Lehstenbach – simulation of exchange dynamics



21m

numerical code HydroGeoSphere





Non-linear, hysteretic discharge behavior





ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH – UFZ

Non-linear, hysteretic solute dynamics

three rain events in the summer of 2010



dynamics in riparian wetland control DOC Export from catchment !

Simulated biogeochem. patterns - particle tracking + PHREEQC



Observed vs. simulated concentration profiles





Haean catchment, South Korea



Monsoon events → scour in the channel

before July 2010 event (P = 15 mm in 70 min)



| original mesh | |
|---------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

after July 2010 event





Page 15

Monsoon events → shifts between losing & gaining



Page 16

Simulated vs. observed heads



Simulated vs. observed temperatures at W8



gaining conditions





Hyporheic zone dynamics – Selke River, NE Germany



Field monitoring & field laboratory



WESS / TERENO



high-resolution online oxygen profiling



EC dynamics across gravel bar – first results



RTDs by non-parametric deconvolution of EC time series (Cirpka et al. GW 2007, Vogt et al. AWR 2010)

Explorative modeling – flow, transport & reactions



Page 23

Pressure distribution at the interface



- CFD-generated pressure distribution as BC for HZ model 🌾 несмности
- variations in channel structures + inflow of ambient GW



Modelling scenarios



tracer movement for different rates of GW upwelling

- shifting pressure minima & maxima for different bed forms 🌾 несмности
- GW inflow reduces extent of HZ

CENTRE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL **RESEARCH – UFZ**

Conclusions

- Complex dynamics of water & solute fluxes at GW-SW interface
- Hydrology as major control for biogeochemical process patterns
- Solute fluxes driven by event-based dynamics (e.g. thresholds)
- Specific times & locations may dominate solute flux response
- Solute fluxes not described well by average states & conditions
- Interface processes may drive solute dynamics at larger-scale
- High-frequency monitoring may reveal non-intuitive dynamics
- Explorative modeling helps to avoid pitfalls in data interpretation



Outlook – challenges & ways forward

Challenges

- Knowing what to measure & monitor where & when
- How to identify dominant processes
- Model, parameter & predictive uncertainties
- How to assess process relevance at management scales

Ways forward

- Creatively utilize potential of new field technologies & methods
- Hypothesis-driven explorative research designs
- Combine "top-down" with traditional "bottom-up" approaches
- Iterative combination of monitoring & modeling → conceptual models of solute fluxes, classification schemes for GW-SW system types → WQ management strategies

Acknowledgements: Michael Vieweg, Nico Trauth, UFZ Leipzig Klaus-Holger Knorr, Martin Reichert, Chris Shope University of Bayreuth

V ydrogeology UFZ Leipzig

Thank you for your attention